1 2 3 4 5	Louis F. Teran (State Bar No. 249494) STRATEGIC LEGAL COUNSELING Iteran@strategiclegalcounseling.com 1055 East Colorado Blvd., Suite #500 Pasadena, CA 91106 Telephone: (818) 484-3217 x200 Facsimile: (866) 665-8877 Attorneys for Defendants MIKE'S NOVELTIES, INC. and MANIS	CH CHANDER
6	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
7		CT OF CALIFORNIA
8		N DIVISION
9	WESTER	VDIVISION
10	JAKE LEE, an individual	Case No.: CV10-02225-JAK (JCx)
11	Plaintiff,	Hon. Judge John A. Kronstadt
12)	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED
13	vs.	SPECIAL JURY VERDICT FORM
14		Complaint Filed: Morch 26, 2010
15	MIKE'S NOVELTIES, INC. d.b.a.	Complaint Filed: March 26, 2010 Discovery Cut-Off: August 2, 2011 Pre-Trial Conference: November 28, 2011
16 17	MIKE'S WORLDWIDE IMPORTS, a Texas Corporation; and	Trial Date: December 6, 2011
	MANISCH CHANDER, a.k.a. MIKE	
18 19	CHANDER, a.k.a. MANISCH) CHANDRA, a.k.a. MIKE CHANDRA, an)	
	individual	
2021	Defendants)	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

1 2 3	follow the d	lirections provus. Some of t	vided througho The questions c	out the form. Your answer to each question must ontain legal terms that are defined and explained e refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure
4		•		term that appears in the questions below.
5				to the answers to the following questions and
6	return them under the instructions of this court as our verdict in this case.			
7	<u>INFRINGEMENT</u>			
8	(The ques	-		at should be answered regardless of your findings
9		with re	spect to the va	lidity or invalidity of the patent.)
10	A. <u>Direc</u>	ct Infringeme	<u>ent</u>	
11				HAT IT IS MORE LIKELY THAN NOT
12			-	NT OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT) IS INCLUDED IN DEFENDANTS'
13		CUSED PRO		
14	Answ	ver the followi	ing question re	garding infringement of the '936 Patent with
15		" or "No". A ndant.	"Yes" is a fin	nding for Plaintiff. A "No" is a finding for
16	Deje	паат.		
17	(A)	Claim 1	Yes	
18	(B)	Claim 2	Yes	No
19	(C)	Claim 3	Yes	No
20	(D)	Claim 4	Yes	No
21	(E)	Claim 8	Yes	No
22	(F)	Claim 9	Yes	No
23	(G)	Claim 10	Yes	No
24	(H)	Claim 11	Yes	No
25	(I)	Claim 12	Yes	No
26	(J)	Claim 14	Yes	No
27	(K)	Claim 16	Yes	No
28	(L)	Claim 18	Yes	No

1	В.	Willful Infringement
2	2.	IF YOU FOUND THAT DEFENDANT INFRINGED ANY CLAIM OF THE
3		'936 PATENT, THEN ANSWER THE FOLLOWING:
4		HAS PLAINTIFF PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
5		DEFENDANTS WERE AWARE OF THE '936 PATENT AND ACTED DESPITE AN OBJECTIVELY HIGH LIKELIHOOD THAT THEIR
6		ACTIONS INFRINGED AT LEAST ONE VALID AND ENFORCEABLE CLAIM OF THE '936 PATENT?
7		ENFORCEABLE CLAIM OF THE '950 PATENT:
8		Answer the following question regarding willful infringement with a "Yes" or "No". A "Yes" is a finding for Plaintiff. A "No" is a finding for Defendant.
10		Yes No
11	3.	IF YOUR ANSWER TO QUESTION #2 IS "YES", THEN ANSWER THE
12		FOLLOWING:
13		HAS PLAINTIFF PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
14		DEFENDANTS ACTUALLY KNEW, OR IT WAS SO OBVIOUS THAT DEFENDANTS SHOULD HAVE KNOWN, THAT ITS
15		ACTIONS CONSTITUTED INFRINGEMENT OF A VALID AND
16		ENFORCEABLE PATENT?
17		Answer the following question regarding willful infringement with a "Yes" or "No". A "Yes" is a finding for Plaintiff. A "No" is a finding for Defendant.
18		No . A les is a finding for Flaimiff. A No is a finding for Defendant.
19		Yes No
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	<u>INVALIDITY</u>	
2	(The question regarding invalidity should be answered regardless of your findings with	
3	respect to infringement.)	
4	A. Written Description Requirement	
5	4. HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT	
6	THE SPECIFICATION OF THE '936 PATENT DOES NOT CONTAIN AN ADEQUATE WRITTEN DESCRIPTION OF THE CLAIMED	
7	INVENTION?	
8	Answer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A	
9	"Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.	
10	Yes No	
11	B. Enablement	
12	5. HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT	
13	THE SPECIFICATION OF THE '936 PATENT DOES NOT CONTAIN A DESCRIPTION OF THE CLAIMED INVENTION THAT IS SUFFICIENTLY FULL AND CLEAR TO ENABLE PERSONS OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE FIELD TO MAKE AND USE THE	
14		
15		
16	INVENTION?	
17	Answer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A	
18	"Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.	
19	Yes No	
20		
21	C. Best Mode	
22	6. HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT	
23	THE '936 PATENT DOES NOT DISCLOSE WHAT THE INVENTOR BELIEVED WAS THE BEST WAY TO CARRY OUT THE CLAIMED	
24	INVENTION AT THE TIME THE PATENT APPLICATION WAS FILED?	
25	Answer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A	
26	"Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.	
27	Yes No	
28		
	4	

1	D. Antici	<u>pation</u>
2		AS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
3		<u>AIM 1</u> OF THE '936 PATENT WAS "ANTICIPATED" OR, IN OTHER ORDS, NOT NEW?
4	_	
5		swer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A 'es" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.
6 7		Yes No
8	If t	the answer is "Yes", check any reason below that is applicable:
9	pul	Plaintiff's invention was already patented or described in a printed blication somewhere in the world before the date of conception.
10	— wa	Plaintiff's invention was already described in another issued U.S. patent that is based in a patent application filed before the date of conception.
12 13 14	cla	Plaintiff did not invent the claimed invention but instead learned of the imed invention from someone else.
15 16 17	<u>CL</u>	AS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT A LAIM 11 OF THE '936 PATENT WAS "ANTICIPATED" OR, IN OTHER ORDS, NOT NEW?
18 19		swer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A es" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.
20		Yes No
21	If t	the answer is "Yes", check any reason below that is applicable:
22		Plaintiff's invention was already patented or described in a printed
23	pul	blication somewhere in the world before the date of conception.
24 25	— wa	Plaintiff's invention was already described in another issued U.S. patent that is based in a patent application filed before the date of conception.
26 27 28	cla	Plaintiff did not invent the claimed invention but instead learned of the imed invention from someone else.

1	E. S	Statutory Bar
2	9	O. HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
3		THE '936 PATENT WAS NOT FILED WITHIN THE TIME REQUIRED BY LAW?
4		Anguar the following question regarding invalidity with a "Veg" or "No".
5		Answer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A "Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.
6 7		Yes No
8		If the answer is "Yes", check any reason below that is applicable:
9		Plaintiff's invention was sold or offered for sale in the United States more than one year before the priority date of the '936 Patent.
0		Plaintiff's invention was in public use in the United States more than one
1		year before the priority date of the '936 Patent and the use was not primarily an
2		experimental use controlled by the Plaintiff and to test whether the invention worked for its intended purpose.
3		
4	F. (Obviousness_
5	_	0.HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
6		THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OF THE '936 PATENT ARE INVALID ON THE GROUND OF OBVIOUSNESS?
8		
9		Answer the following question regarding invalidity of the '936 Patent with "Yes" or "No". A "Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.
0.		Claim 1 Yes No
1		Claim 11 Yes No
2		
23	G. <u>1</u>	<u>nventorship</u>
24	1	1. HAS DEFENDANT PROVEN THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT
25		THE '936 PATENT FAILS TO NAME ALL ACTUAL INVENTORS.
26 27		Answer the following question regarding invalidity with a "Yes" or "No". A "Yes" is a finding for Defendant. A "No" is a finding for Plaintiff.
28		res is a finding for Defendam. A two is a finding for training.
ιO		Yes No

1	FINDINGS ON DAMAGES (IF APPLICABLE)
2	(If you answered question 1 "Yes" and questions 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 "No", then
3	proceed to answer the remaining questions. If you did not so answer, do NOT answer the remaining questions and proceed to check and sign the verdict form.)
4	
5	12. WHAT LOST PROFITS, IF ANY, DID PLAINTIFF SHOW IT MORE LIKELY THAN NOT SUFFERED AS A RESULT OF SALES THAT IT
6	WOULD WITH REASONABLE PROBABILITY HAVE MADE BUT FOR
7	DEFENDANT'S INFRINGEMENT?
8	\$
9	
10	13. FOR THOSE INFRINGING SALES FOR WHICH PLAINTIFF HAS NOT
11	PROVED ITS ENTITLEMENT TO LOST PROFITS, WHAT AMOUNT HAS IT PROVED IT IS INTITLED TO AS A REASONABLE ROYALTY?
12	
13	\$
14	
15	
16	
17	You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign
18	and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Security Guard that you have
19	reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.
20	Tring it when the jury is brought back this the courtroom.
21	
22	
23	DATED:
24	
25	Signed By:
26	Presiding Juror
27	
28	